

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,210	01/06/2004	Myoung-Kwang Bae	5649-1014DV	2635
20792 75	90 06/10/2004		EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			HO, TU TU V	
PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NO			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
idibbidii, id	27027		2818	
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/752,210	BAE, MYOUNG-KWANG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	and a			
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Tu-Tu Ho	2818	ldrana			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	оттеѕропаетсе аа	uress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ja</u>	nuary 2004.					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) □ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated and accomplicated and specification are described.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine.	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of Reférences Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		0-152)			

## DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

## Election/Restriction

Claims 1-16 are pending in this application.

1. The claims are directed to the following patently distinct species of the claimed

invention:

**Species I.** Illustrated in Figure 3K and as best as can be understood described in

claims 1-8

**Species II.** Illustrated in Figure 5D and as best as can be understood described in

claims 9-16

2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims (if different from the above listing) readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/752,210

Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a 4. separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 5. examiner should be directed to Tu-Tu Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-1778. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DAVID NELMS can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone numbers for the Application/Control Number: 10/752,210

Art Unit: 2818

Page 4

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

David Nelms

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Tu-Tu Ho June 03, 2004